22 September 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director, NPIC

SUBJECT

Recommendation Regarding Phase II - Chip Implementation Study Program

1. We are at a decision point regarding the Chip Implementation Study Program - specifically whether or not to continue with Phase II, which would provide an implementation plan for one of the alternatives selected from Phase I. I recommend that we terminate the contract now without proceeding to Phase II. This, I understand, is legal (and will save us approximately \$25K); it is also logical.

- 2. My recommendation is based on the following considerations:
- a. Under any of the feasible alternatives of Phase I, the dollar investment to produce chips at NPIC is far greater than any of the dollar savings that such an investment is predicted to provide for NPIC (e.g., total 5-year costs of
- b. Even if we choose to disregard the investment costs, the predicted PI manhours to be saved are, when distributed over time and among the PI contingent we have, of insufficient magnitude to justify a decision to implement production of chips at NPIC.
- c. In a decision concerning film format, particularly when we are faced with a new imagery system about which there is much to learn, the preference of the PI's should be given considerable weight. That preference, as stated on a number of occasions, has been for DP rolls packaged in reasonably small segments, rather than chips.
- d. The contractor has provided sufficient detail in Phase I to allow the development of an implementation plan by in-house personnel, should conditions change and a decision be made to implement a chip producing system at NPIC.

Declass Review by NIMA/DOD

GNOC? 1
Exoluded from automatic dewagrading and declaration

5X1

SUBJECT: Recommendation Regarding Phase II - Chip Implementation Study Program

- e. The contractor has now proposed to NRO to undertake a year long study of film format, distribution, etc. at the community level. This situation argues against the expenditure by NPIC of additional funds on an internal production system until the results of that study are known, particularly if it is decided to produce chips at the processing site.
- 3. I consider the investment to date to have been worthwhile; though I have some disagreement with parts of the analysis presented in Phase I, overall it has provided a comprehensive collection of data against which to interpret the chip problem and against which to judge future proposals regarding the introduction of chips.

25

Chief, Planning, Programming & Budgeting Staff

Distribution:

Orig - NPIC/ODIR

1 - NPIC/SS

1 - NPIC/TSG

1 - NPIC/IEG

1 - NPIC/PSG

1 - NPIC/PPBS/P&PD

1 - NPIC/PPBS

FROM	hie F,	PPBS	;	21.09
то		INITIALS	DATE	REMARKS
DIRECTOR				1 lada
DEP/DIRECTO	R		_/	
EXEC/DIRE CTO	R X	15	72	This affermon
SPECIAL ASST	1	M.	962	hat well
ASST TO DIR				100 to 1
HISTORIAN				
CH/PPBS	-			et al
DEP CH/PPBS			<u> </u>	to review 156's brugni
EXO/PPBS	-			
	1	+		Scholald for prosential
CH/SS				In In and
DEP CH/SS				Jo gm
SC & P				to offer, any war
RECORDS MC	;т			The Grap/ Wolf
PERSONNEL				TS Some TS
LOGISTICS				Chiefs,
TRAINING				med newwww.sex
SECURITY				The moved
FINANCE				many - 1
				The attacked mins
CH/IEG_			ļ	wellest the post in
DEP CH/IEG				a Land
EXO/IEG				expressed by me at
			 	That meeting.
CH/PSG	_			ď
DEP CH PSG			-	
EXO PSG				- <i>7</i> 5.
CH/TSG				-
DEP CH/TS	G -			
EXO/TSG				
DIR/IAS/DDI				
CH/DIAXX-4		_	-	_
CH/DIAAP-9				_